

### Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> <b>11-2474/4</b>		<b>Introduction Number</b> <b>AB-0346</b>	
<b>Description</b> The licensing requirement for animal control facilities			
<b>Fiscal Effect</b>			
<b>State:</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs			
<b>Local:</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs              3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs              4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected <input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Village <input type="checkbox"/> Cities <input type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> Others <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts			
<b>Fund Sources Affected</b>		<b>Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS 20.115(2)(j)			
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b>		<b>Authorized Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>
DATCP/ Melissa Mace (608) 224-4883		Bill Walker (608) 224-4353	11/14/2011

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DATCP 11/14/2011

LRB Number	11-2474/4	Introduction Number	AB-0346	Estimate Type	Original
<b>Description</b> The licensing requirement for animal control facilities					

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Current law requires certain persons who sell dogs or operate animal shelters and all persons who operate animal control facilities to be licensed by the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection. An animal control facility is a facility for the care of animals operated under a contract with a city, village, town, or county (political subdivision). Persons who are required to be licensed must comply with standards of animal care that are specified in the law.

This bill creates an exception to the licensing requirement for a person who operates an animal control facility under a contract with one or more political subdivisions if the animal control facility operates under a kennel license issued by the political subdivision in which the animal control facility is located.

In those political subdivisions that do not administer a kennel licensing program, contract animal control facilities would still be required to obtain a DATCP license.

At this time, 14 legal entities have been licensed as animal control facilities. Assuming all 14 entities are located in political subdivisions that administer a kennel licensing program, DATCP revenues under the bill would decline by \$1,750 (14 facilities times \$125 per license).

Revenue effects would be lower if some of the 14 entities are not eligible for kennel licensure under a local program. Similarly, the law has only been in affect since June 2011 and it is not known how many additional animal control facilities will eventually license with DATCP.

Local costs maybe impacted depending on their contractual relationships with animal control facilities. Details of these arrangements are not known to DATCP.

### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2011 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> 11-2474/4		<b>Introduction Number</b> AB-0346	
<b>Description</b> The licensing requirement for animal control facilities			
<b>I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):</b>			
<b>II. Annualized Costs:</b>		<b>Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:</b>	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
<b>A. State Costs by Category</b>			
	State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	\$
	(FTE Position Changes)		
	State Operations - Other Costs		
	Local Assistance		
	Aids to Individuals or Organizations		
	<b>TOTAL State Costs by Category</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>B. State Costs by Source of Funds</b>			
	GPR		
	FED		
	PRO/PRS		
	SEG/SEG-S		
<b>III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)</b>			
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
	GPR Taxes	\$	\$
	GPR Earned		
	FED		
	PRO/PRS		-1,750
	SEG/SEG-S		
	<b>TOTAL State Revenues</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$-1,750</b>
<b>NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT</b>			
		<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$	\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$-1,750	\$
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b>		<b>Authorized Signature</b>	
DATCP/ Melissa Mace (608) 224-4883		Bill Walker (608) 224-4353	
		<b>Date</b>	
		11/14/2011	